Dyonic black holes and dilaton charge in string theory

Prieslei Goulart*
Instituto de Física Teórica, UNESP-Universidade Estadual Paulista
R. Dr. Bento T. Ferraz 271, Bl. II, São Paulo 01140-070, SP, Brazil
(Dated: November 23, 2016)

We give the most general four-dimensional non-extremal dyonic black solution for Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory in absence of a scalar potential. The solution is written in terms of five independent parameters: the electic charge Q, the magnetic charge P, the value of the dilaton at infinity ϕ_0, and two integration constants, r_1 and r_2. The dilaton charge is given in terms of all of these parameters. Particular choices of the integration constants allow us to recover the other known black hole solutions found before. We discuss the thermodynamical properties of these black holes for the non-extremal and extremal cases. From the solution for the dilaton it is straightforward to provide an answer to why is $\phi_{H,\text{extreme}}$ independent of ϕ_0 and to why $\phi_{H,\text{extreme}} = \phi_0$ when the dilaton charge is zero.

Keywords: Dyonic black holes; supergravity; dilaton

arXiv:1611.03093v2 [hep-th] 21 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Black holes are believed to be the perfect object to address the quantum aspects of gravity. Quantum processes taking place near the horizon, for instance, lead the black hole to evaporate [1]. The horizon area surrounding the singularity has a large associated entropy, and this matches the counting of their inner microstates in the string theory context [2]. It is clear then that the knowledge of the full black hole solutions for the low-energy effective actions of string theory (namely supergravity) is crucial for a complete analysis of such phenomena. It turns out that obtaining such solutions is a very difficult task. The reason is that, in general, more realistic supergravity theories contain dilaton fields coupling non-trivially to field strengths, and the equations of motion become highly non-linear. Although some non-extremal solutions were found, much of the progress achieved over the past 25 years was restricted to the treatment of extremal (T=0) black hole solutions.

This work deals with black hole solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton (EMD) theory. The field content of the EMD theory is a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, a gauge field A_μ and a dilaton ϕ, and the dilaton couples exponentially to the field strength. Magnetically charged black holes for EMD theory in 4 dimensions were found first in [3, 4] and rediscovered later in [5]. From this solution one obtains the electrically charged one via electric-magnetic duality (S-duality). A more general solution including electric and magnetic charges at the same time, which is referred to as dyonic, was given in [6]. This dyonic solution contains four independent parameters, and it presents a Reissner-Nordström-like structure in the time and radial components of the metric: it has two horizons and a well-defined zero temperature limit. This is not the case for the magnetic solution, which has a Schwarzschild-like structure in the time and radial components of the metric [5].

The inclusion of a scalar field enriches a lot the physics of charged black holes. An intriguing phenomena related to the dilaton field is the attractor mechanism for extremal black holes [7–9], which states that the dilaton is attracted to a value on the horizon, $\phi_{H,\text{extreme}}$, that is independent of its value at infinity, namely ϕ_0. The first law of thermodynamics also needed a modification [15] to accomodate the dependence upon the moduli ϕ_0. Also in [15], the authors raised two questions concerning the value of the dilaton on the horizon for extremal black holes:

(i) Why is $\phi_{H,\text{extreme}}$ independent of ϕ_0?

(ii) Why is $\phi_{H,\text{extreme}}$ given by

$$\left(\frac{\partial M_{\text{extreme}}}{\partial \phi}\right)_{((p,q),\phi=\phi_{\text{extreme}})} = 0? \tag{1}$$

The second question was answered by the authors in the same refence. It turns out that equation (1) is equivalent to having zero dilaton charge, which implies $\phi_{H,\text{extreme}} = \phi_0$. The four parameters dyonic solution of reference [6] is not enough to provide an explanation for the first question, which remains unanswered.

In this paper, we present the most general non-extremal dyonic black hole solution for EMD theory. This solution is written in terms of five independent parameters: the electic charge Q, the magnetic charge P, the value of the dilaton at infinity ϕ_0, and two integration constants, r_1 and r_2. The dilaton charge is written in terms of these independent parameters. Boundary conditions imposed on r_1 and r_2 make the object become either a massive black hole, or a massless black hole, or a naked singularity. Here we discuss just the massive case, and the massless one is studied in a different paper [14]. We precisely show that the dyonic non-extremal and extremal solutions in [6] are a particular case of ours. We compute the entropy and temperature of the black hole. With this more

* prieslei@ift.unesp.br

general solution in hands, we also provide trivial answers to both questions stated above, which are related to the values of the dilaton field in the extremal case.

II. EINSTEIN-MAXWELL-DILATON THEORY

In order to fix the notation and units we consider the simplest and most general EMD action without a dilaton potential, which can be written as

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(R - 2\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - W(\phi)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu})\,. \quad (2)$$

Here, we take units in which $(16\pi G_N) \equiv 1$, where G_N is the Newton constant. The field strength is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \quad (3)$$

The function $W(\phi)$ will be fixed later. The equations of motion for the metric, dilaton and gauge field, and Bianchi identities, are respectively:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}W(\phi)F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma} + 2W(\phi)F_{\mu\rho}F_\nu{}^\rho, \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla_\mu(\partial^\mu\phi) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial W(\phi)}{\partial\phi}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla_\mu\left(W(\phi)F^{\mu\nu}\right) = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla_{[\mu}F_{\rho\sigma]} = 0. \quad (7)$$

In supergravity theories it is common to have more than one scalar field, but all the equations of motion obtained here are easily generalized for these cases. When $W(\phi) = e^{-2\phi}$, (2) is the bosonic sector of $SU(4)$ version of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity theory [10], for constant axion field. The dyonic black hole solution of [6] was found for the bosonic sector of $SO(4)$ supergravity [11–13], but since the two versions are the same at the level of equations of motion, that is also a solution to the $SU(4)$ version. These are low-energy effective actions describing superstring theory in four dimensions. In this paper we give a dyonic black hole solution to the $SU(4)$ version of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity that contains five independent parameters.

III. GENERAL METRIC AND ELECTRIC-MAGNETIC DUALITY

We will look for a general spherically symmetric solution. The most general form for a static and spherically symmetric solution takes the form

$$ds^2 = -e^{-\lambda}dt^2 + e^\lambda dr^2 + C^2(r)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (8)$$

where the metric elements depend on the radial coordinate r. Equation (4) are non-trivial for the R_{00}, R_{11}, and R_{22} components (the R_{33} component is the same as the R_{22} component). Adding R_{00} with R_{11}

$$-\frac{2C''}{C} = 2(\phi')^2, \quad (9)$$

where the primes denote derivative with respect to the radial coordinate. Now, computing R_{11} minus R_{22} we have

$$(e^{-\lambda}C^2)'' = 2. \quad (10)$$

We can rewrite R_{00} as

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(C^2\frac{d}{dr}(e^{-\lambda})\right) = -2C^2e^{-2\phi}(F_{rt}F^{rt} - F_{\theta\phi}F^{\theta\phi}). \quad (11)$$

The dilaton equation of motion can be put in the form

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(e^{-\lambda}C^2\phi'\right) = -C^2e^{-2\phi}\left(F_{rt}F^{rt} + F_{\theta\phi}F^{\theta\phi}\right). \quad (12)$$

By adding and subtracting (11) and (12) we can form the following equations

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{C^2}{2}\frac{d}{dr}(e^{-\lambda}) + e^{-\lambda}C^2\phi'\right) = -2C^2e^{-2\phi}F_{rt}F^{rt}, \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{C^2}{2}\frac{d}{dr}(e^{-\lambda}) - e^{-\lambda}C^2\phi'\right) = 2C^2e^{-2\phi}F_{\theta\phi}F^{\theta\phi}. \quad (14)$$

The importance of having the equations written in such a way resides in the fact that we can see explicitly the invariance under S-duality duality transformation,

$$F'^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}}e^{-2\phi}\tilde{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}, \;\; \phi' = -\phi, \quad (15)$$

where $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol. Just like the Maxwell's equations and Bianchi identities rotate into each other, the same happens to these two equations, showing that the duality transformation is not only an invariance of the gauge field equations but also of the Einstein's and dilaton equations combined.

IV. FULL DYONIC BLACK HOLE SOLUTION

We are left with a system of equations given by (6), (9), (10), (11) and (12). The most general dyonic black hole solution to the EMD theory we found is given by

$$e^{-\lambda} = \frac{(r - r_1)(r - r_2)}{(r + d_0)(r + d_1)}, \;\; C^2(r) = (r + d_0)(r + d_1), \quad (16)$$

$$e^{2\phi} = e^{2\phi_0}\frac{r + d_1}{r + d_0}, \quad (17)$$

$$F_{rt} = \frac{e^{2\phi_0}Q}{(r + d_0)^2}, \;\; F_{\theta\phi} = P\sin\theta, \quad (18)$$

with

$$d_0 = \frac{-(r_1+r_2) \pm \sqrt{(r_1-r_2)^2 + 8e^{2\phi_0}Q^2}}{2}, \quad (19)$$

$$d_1 = \frac{-(r_1+r_2) \pm \sqrt{(r_1-r_2)^2 + 8e^{-2\phi_0}P^2}}{2}. \quad (20)$$

Here, Q is the electric charge, P is the magnetic charge, ϕ_0 is the value of the dilaton at infinity, and r_1 and r_2 are integration constants. Notice that d_0 and d_1 are not independent constants, and they transform into each other under S-duality, i.e. $Q \leftrightarrow P$ and $\phi \to -\phi$ (also $\phi_0 \to -\phi_0$). The dilaton coupling $e^{-2\phi}$ is also invariant. In order to avoid negative entropies for the extremal limit, their signs must be chosen to be the same. We take $r_2 > r_1$ without loss of generality, so that r_1 and r_2 are the inner and outer horizons respectively. The temperature of the black hole is given by

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{(r_2-r_1)}{(r_2+d_0)(r_2+d_1)}. \quad (21)$$

The dilaton charge is defined as

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d\Sigma^\mu \nabla_\mu \phi = \frac{(d_0-d_1)}{2}, \quad (22)$$

and this can be positive or negative, depending on the values of the electric or magnetic charge of the black hole. This solution contains five independent parameters, namely Q, P, ϕ_0, r_1 and r_2. The dyonic solution found in [6] contains four parameters: Q, P, ϕ_0, and the mass M of the black hole. So far, no boundary condition was imposed on the constants r_1 and r_2. This is the key ingredient that makes this dyonic black hole with five parameters so rich: we can fix r_1 and r_2 to take any value. For the following discussion, we fix $(r_1+r_2) = 2M$, where M is the mass of the black hole. This is in agreement with the Newtonian limit. The constants (19) and (20) will then be given by

$$d_0 = -M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - r_1 r_2 + 2e^{2\phi_0}Q^2}, \quad (23)$$

$$d_1 = -M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - r_1 r_2 + 2e^{-2\phi_0}P^2}. \quad (24)$$

Notice that the product $r_1 r_2$ is still not fixed, but in order to avoid complex fields in the theory, it must be chosen to satisfy simultaneously the bounds

$$M^2 \geq r_1 r_2 - 2e^{2\phi_0}Q^2, \;\; M^2 \geq r_1 r_2 - 2e^{-2\phi_0}P^2. \quad (25)$$

But these requirements are necessary if and only if we want to have a black hole with mass. Another possibility would be to consider $(r_1+r_2) = 0$, which provides a massless object that can be non-extremal and extremal. Moreover, from the massless object we can construct analytical charged Einstein-Rosen bridges (or just charged wormholes) satisfying the null energy condition. This is done in a separate paper [14].

The Ricci scalar is given by

$$R = \frac{(d_0-d_1)^2(r-r_1)(r-r_2)}{2(r+d_0)^3(r+d_1)^3}. \quad (26)$$

In order to avoid problems with causality, the solution is valid only in the domain $C^2(r) \geq 0$. The singularity is at $r_S = -d_0$ when $d_0 > d_1$, or at $r_S = -d_1$ when $d_1 > d_0$.

V. RELATION TO KNOWN SOLUTIONS

In this section we show how to recover the known four parameters non-extremal and extremal black hole solutions found in [6]. In order to do so, we have to impose specific boundary conditions to fix r_1 and r_2. From the general solution we have:

- Non-extremal dyonic black hole of [6]:

In order to obtain this solution, we set $d_1 = -d_0$. The constant d_0 will then be the dilaton charge, i.e. $d_0 = \Sigma$. Combining equations (19) and (20) it is easy to show that

$$\Sigma^2 = e^{2\phi_0}Q^2 + e^{-2\phi_0}P^2 - r_1 r_2, \quad (27)$$

$$\Sigma = \frac{e^{2\phi_0}Q^2 - e^{-2\phi_0}P^2}{(r_1+r_2)}. \quad (28)$$

We impose that $(r_1+r_2) = 2M$. The product $r_1 r_2$ is identified as the constant r_0^2 in reference [6]. The solution is now written as

$$e^{-\lambda} = \frac{(r-r_1)(r-r_2)}{(r^2-\Sigma^2)}, \;\; C^2(r) = (r^2-\Sigma^2) \quad (29)$$

$$e^{2\phi} = e^{2\phi_0}\frac{r+\Sigma}{r-\Sigma}, \quad (30)$$

$$F_{rt} = \frac{e^{2\phi_0}Q}{(r-\Sigma)^2}, \;\; F_{\theta\phi} = P\sin\theta. \quad (31)$$

As was stated before, this solution contains four independent parameters: the mass M, the electric charge Q, the magnetic charge P, and the dilaton at infinity ϕ_0. The magnetically charged solutions of [4] and [5] are obtained from it by setting $Q = 0$. By setting further that $P = 0$ we recover the Schwarzschild solution.

- Extremal black holes:

When the inner and outer horizon coincide, i.e. $r_1 = r_2 \equiv r_H$, we have an extremal ($T = 0$) black hole. The choice $(r_1+r_2) = 2M$ implies that $r_H \equiv M$, so

$$d_0 = -M \pm \sqrt{2}e^{\phi_0}Q, \;\; d_1 = -M \pm \sqrt{2}e^{-\phi_0}P \quad (32)$$

In order to identify this case with the one found in the literature, we make the change of coordinates $\rho = r - M$ and then

the horizon of the extremal black hole is located at $\rho = 0$. The extremal black hole solution is then

$$ds^2 = -e^{-2U}dt^2 + e^{2U}(d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega_2^2),$$
$$e^{2U} = \left(1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{\phi_0}Q}{\rho}\right)\left(1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{-\phi_0}P}{\rho}\right), \quad (33)$$
$$e^{2\phi} = e^{2\phi_0}\frac{(\rho \pm \sqrt{2}e^{-\phi_0}P)}{(\rho \pm \sqrt{2}e^{\phi_0}Q)}, \quad (34)$$
$$F_{rt} = \frac{e^{2\phi_0}Q}{(\rho \pm \sqrt{2}e^{\phi_0}Q)^2}, \;\; F_{\theta\phi} = P\sin\theta. \quad (35)$$

We have used isotropic coordinates, i.e. $\rho^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$, and consequently $d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega_2^2 = d\vec{x}^2$. The mass of the black hole is written as

$$M = -\frac{(d_0 + d_1)}{2} + \frac{e^{\phi_0}Q + e^{-\phi_0}P}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (36)$$

Notice that for $d_1 = -d_0$ we recover exactly the extremal dyonic solution found in [6], with the same definition of mass and dilaton charge. If $d_1 \neq -d_0$ the horizon of the black hole solution found here can not be brought to the form of the one found in the same reference by a coordinate transformation. The presence of an extra parameter makes the solution (16) to be more general than the one found in [6] also for the extremal case.

VI. THERMAL PROPERTIES

The thermodynamical properties follow easily. The general black hole temperature and entropy are given by

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{(r_2 - r_1)}{(r_2 + d_0)(r_2 + d_1)}, \;\; S = \pi(r_2 + d_0)(r_2 + d_1). \quad (37)$$

Notice that the temperature and entropy are written in terms of the five independent parameters of the solution. For fixed M, Q, P and ϕ_0, we have an extra parameter that is still not fixed in these physical quantities. So we can change the entropy and temperature by changing the values of this extra parameter. This guarantees that the extra parameter is not redundant and changes physical quantities. Moreover, this stablishes that our solution is in fact more general that the one in [6], since the temperature and entropy there are fixed for fixed M, Q, P and ϕ_0.

In the extremal limit, $r_1 = r_2 \equiv r_H$, the temperature is naturally zero and the entropy is completely independent on the choice of r_H, i.e.

$$T = 0, \;\; S = 2\pi QP. \quad (38)$$

This means that the entropy for extremal black holes is insensitive to boundary conditions. i.e. it does not depend on r_H and ϕ_0. This is in agreement with the attractor mechanism [7, 9]. The entropy was first computed in [6], and confirmed in several papers dealing also with the attractor mechanism. The value of the dilaton field on the horizon is given by

$$e^{2\phi_H} = \frac{P}{Q}. \quad (39)$$

The first law of black hole thermodynamics needs a modification to include the dependence upon the moduli ϕ_0. This was done in [15] and we write it here. Although we dealt with static solutions, we also include angular momentum for completeness. For fixed mass, $(r_1 + r_2) = 2M$, this is

$$dM = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}dA + \Omega dJ + \psi^\Lambda dq_\Lambda + \chi_\Lambda dp^\Lambda - \Sigma^a d\phi_a, \quad (40)$$

where M is the mass, κ is the surface gravity, A is the area of the horizon, Ω is the angular velocity, J is the angular momentum, ψ^Λ and χ_Λ are the electrostatic and magnetostatic potentials, q_Λ and p^Λ are the electric and magnetic charges, $\Lambda = 1, ..., n$ is an index labeling all the charges, Σ^a is the dilaton charge, ϕ_a is the dilaton at infinity, and $a = 1, ..., m$ is an index labeling the dilatons evaluated at infinity. In the same reference, it was stated by the authors that two questions arose and motivated the results of their paper. They were rewritten in the introduction of this paper, and as we also mentioned, the authors provided an answer to the second one. It turns out that equation (1) is equivalent to

$$\Sigma(\phi_{\rm fix}, (p, q)) = 0. \quad (41)$$

But black holes with vanishing scalar charge must have spatially constant moduli fields: $\phi(r) = \phi_{H,\rm extreme} = \phi_0$. So, for equation (41) to be satisfied, we must choose ϕ_0 to be $\phi_{H,\rm extreme}$. Here, surprisingly, the answers to both of these arise naturally from the solution describing the dilaton field (17). Because we have the definition of the dilaton charge in terms of the five parameters, we can compute $\phi_{H,\rm extreme}$ from (34). This is achieved just by choosing $\rho = 0$, which is the position of the horizon, and this gives

$$e^{2\phi_{H,\rm extreme}} = \frac{P}{Q}. \quad (42)$$

We see that the factors of ϕ_0 cancel out of (34), which gives an answer to the first question. For the second question, we have

$$\Sigma(\phi_{\rm fix}, (p, q)) = 0 \Rightarrow d_0 = d_1. \quad (43)$$

Using the formulas for d_0 and d_1 this is achieved if the value of the dilaton at infinity is given by

$$e^{2\phi_0} = \frac{P}{Q}, \quad (44)$$

and this is the same as (42), which implies $\phi_{H,\rm extreme} = \phi_0$. We see that it is mathematically trivial to answer these questions once the dilaton field is written as (17), with the constants d_0 and d_1 given by (19) and (20).

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we gave the most general dyonic black hole solution of the bosonic part of the $SU(4)$ version of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergavity with constant axions. The solution contains five independent parameters, and it generalizes the the solution found by Kallosh et.al [6], which contains four independent parameters. Appropriate boundary conditions were imposed to fix the parameters, which allowed to show that the known black hole solutions for the same theory are subcases of the one presented here. We computed the temperature and entropy of these black holes, and solved some puzzling questions concerning the value of the dilaton in the extremal case. The solution is richer in the sense that it contains also massless black holes, which allows us to build the first analytical charged Einstein-Rosen bridge satisfying the null energy condition ever found. This will be discussed in [14]

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to George Matsas and Horatiu Nastase for useful discussions. The author is also grateful for the hospitality of the Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner Heisenberg Institut), where part of this work was developed. This work is supported by FAPESP grant 2013/00140-7 and 2015/17441-5.

[1] S. W. Hawking, *In *Gibbons, G.W. (ed.), Hawking, S.W. (ed.): Euclidean quantum gravity* 167-188*, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975), [,167(1975)].
[2] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. **B379**, 99 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9601029 [hep-th].
[3] G. W. Gibbons, in *XV GIFT Seminar on Supersymmetry and Supergravity Gerona, Spain, June 4-11, 1984* (1984).
[4] G. W. Gibbons and K.-i. Maeda, Nucl. Phys. **B298**, 741 (1988).
[5] D. Garfinkle, G. T. Horowitz, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 3140 (1991), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D45,3888(1992)].
[6] R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, T. Ortin, A. W. Peet, and A. Van Proeyen, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 5278 (1992), arXiv:hep-th/9205027 [hep-th].
[7] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 5412 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9508072 [hep-th].
[8] A. Strominger, Phys. Lett. **B383**, 39 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9602111 [hep-th].
[9] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 1514 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9602136 [hep-th].
[10] E. Cremmer, J. Scherk, and S. Ferrara, Phys. Lett. **B74**, 61 (1978).
[11] A. K. Das, Phys. Rev. **D15**, 2805 (1977).
[12] E. Cremmer, J. Scherk, and S. Ferrara, Phys. Lett. **B68**, 234 (1977).
[13] E. Cremmer and J. Scherk, Nucl. Phys. **B127**, 259 (1977).
[14] P. Goulart, (2016), arXiv:1611.03164 [hep-th].
[15] G. W. Gibbons, R. Kallosh, and B. Kol, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4992 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9607108 [hep-th].